IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

DATATREASURY CORPORTION	§	
Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant	§	
	§	
vs.	§	No. 2:06cv72 (DF)
	§	Jury Trial Demanded
WELLS FARGO & COMPANY;	§	
WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL	§	
ASSOCIATION	§	
Defendants/Counter-Plaintiff	§	

DATATREASURY'S ANSWER TO PNC FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.'S AND PNC BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION'S FIRST AMENDED COUNTERCLAIMS

Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant DataTreasury Corporation hereby files its Answer to PNC Financial Services Group, Inc.'s and PNC Bank, National Association's (collectively "PNC") First Amended Counterclaims to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement and in support thereof, states as follows:

1. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant is without sufficient knowledge to either admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 132 of PNC's First Amended Counterclaim.

2. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 133 of PNC's First Amended Counterclaim.

3. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 134 of PNC's First Amended Counterclaim.

4. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 135 of PNC's First Amended Counterclaim.

5. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 136 of PNC's First Amended Counterclaim.

Dockets.Justia.com

FIRST COUNTERCLAIM

(Declaratory Judgment of NonInfringement of U. S. Patent No, 5,265,007)

6. In paragraph 137 of Defendants' First Amended Counterclaim, PNC has incorporated Paragraphs 94 through 131 of Defendant's First Amended Answer which are affirmative defenses by Defendant PNC for which Defendant has the burden of proof, and no response is required by Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant. However, in the event any response would be required by Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant, DataTreasury Corporation responds to each of the affirmative defenses contained in paragraphs 94 through 131 as denied.

7. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 138 of the first counterclaim of PNC's First Amended Counterclaims.

SECOND COUNTERCLAIM

(Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity of U. S. Patent No. 5,265,007)

8. In paragraph 139 of Defendants' First Amended Counterclaim, PNC has incorporated Paragraphs 94 through 131 of Defendant's First Amended Answer which are affirmative defenses by Defendant PNC for which Defendant has the burden of proof, and no response is required by Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant. However, in the event any response would be required by Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant, DataTreasury Corporation responds to each of the affirmative defenses contained in paragraphs 94 through 131 as denied.

9. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 140 of the second counterclaim of PNC's First Amended Counterclaims.

THIRD COUNTERCLAIM

(Declaratory Judgment of Unenforceability of U. S. Patent No. 5,265,007)

10. In paragraph 141 of Defendants' First Amended Counterclaim, PNC has incorporated Paragraphs 94 through 131 of Defendant's First Amended Answer which are affirmative defenses by Defendant PNC for which Defendant has the burden of proof, and no response is required by Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant. However, in the event any response would be required by Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant, DataTreasury Corporation responds to each of the affirmative defenses contained in paragraphs 94 through 131 as denied.

11. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 142 of the third counterclaim of PNC's First Amended Counterclaims.

FOURTH COUNTERCLAIM

(Declaratory Judgment of Noninfringement of U. S. Patent No. 5,717,868)

12. In paragraph 143 of Defendants' First Amended Counterclaim, PNC has incorporated Paragraphs 94 through 131 of Defendant's First Amended Answer which are affirmative defenses by Defendant PNC for which Defendant has the burden of proof, and no response is required by Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant. However, in the event any response would be required by Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant, DataTreasury Corporation responds to each of the affirmative defenses contained in paragraphs 94 through 131 as denied.

13. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 144 of the fourth counterclaim of PNC's First Amended Counterclaims.

FIFTH COUNTERCLAIM

(Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity of U. S. Patent No. 5,717,868)

14. In paragraph 145 of Defendants' First Amended Counterclaim, PNC has incorporated Paragraphs 94 through 131 of Defendant's First Amended Answer which are affirmative defenses by Defendant PNC for which Defendant has the burden of proof, and no response is required by Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant. However, in the event any response would be required by Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant, DataTreasury Corporation responds to each of the affirmative defenses contained in paragraphs 94 through 131 as denied.

15. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 146 of the fifth counterclaim of PNC's First Amended Counterclaims.

SIXTH COUNTERCLAIM

(Declaratory Judgment of Unenforceability of U. S. Patent No. 5,717,868)

16. In paragraph 147 of Defendants' First Amended Counterclaim, PNC has incorporated Paragraphs 94 through 131 of Defendant's First Amended Answer which are affirmative defenses by Defendant PNC for which Defendant has the burden of proof, and no response is required by Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant. However, in the event any response would be required by Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant, DataTreasury Corporation responds to each of the affirmative defenses contained in paragraphs 94 through 131 as denied.

17. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 148 of the sixth counterclaim of PNC's First Amended Counterclaims.

18. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 149 of the sixth counterclaim of PNC's First Amended Counterclaims.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant prays for judgment against Defendants/Counter-Plaintiffs as follows:

A. For judgment dismissing the counterclaims with prejudice;

B. For a declaration that this is an exceptional case, and an award to Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant of its costs and attorneys' fees incurred herein;

C. An award of the costs of this action; and

D. That Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant be awarded such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper, including all relief requested in Plaintiff's Complaint.

Respectfully submitted,

____________/S/______________________

EDWARD L. VON HOHN, Attorney in Charge
State Bar No. 09813240
ROD COOPER
State Bar No. 90001628
EDWARD CHIN
STATE BAR NO. 50511688
NIX PATTERSON & ROACH LLP
5215 N. O'Connor Blvd. Ste. 1900
Irving, Texas 75039
972.831.1188 (telephone)
972.692.5445 (facsimile)
edhohn@nixlawfirm.com
rodcooper@nixlawfrm.com
edchin@nixlawfirm.com

C. CARY PATTERSON
State Bar No. 15587000
BRADY PADDOCK
State Bar No. 00791394
ANTHONY BRUSTER
State Bar No. 24036280
R. BENJAMIN KING
State Bar No. 24048592
NIX PATTERSON & ROACH L.L.P.
2900 St. Michael Drive, Suite 500
Texarkana, Texas 75503
903.223.3999 (telephone)
903.223.8520 (facsimile)
akbruster@nixlawfirm.com
bpaddock@nixlawfirm.com
benking@nixlawfirm.com

JOE KENDALL
State Bar No. 11260700
KARL RUPP
State Bar No. 24035243
PROVOST * UMPHREY, L.L.P.
3232 McKinney Avenue, Ste. 700
Dallas, Texas 75204
214.744.3000 (telephone)
214.744.3015 (facsimile)
jkendall@provostumphrey.com
krupp@provostumphrey.com

ERIC M. ALBRITTON
State Bar ;No. 00790215
ALBRITTON LAW FIRM
P.O. Box 2649
Longview, Texas 75606
903.757.8449 (telephone)
903.758.7397 (facsimile)
ema@emafirm.com

T. JOHN WARD, JR.
State Bar No. 00794818
LAW OFFICE OF T. JOHN WARD, JR.
P.O. Box 1231
Longview, Texas 75601
903.757.6400 (telephone)
903.757.2323 (facsimile)
jw@jwfirm.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF/COUNTER-DEFENDANT,DATATREASURY CORPORATION

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document has been served on all counsel of record, via CM/ECF, on the 17th day of April, 2007.

___________/S/______________________
Edward Lewis von Hohn